

introduction to systems science



evolution and biocomplexity

path to Darwin



"I happened to read for amusement Malthus on population, and being well prepared to appreciate the struggle for existence...it at once struck me that under these circumstances favourable variations would tend to be preserved, and unfavourable ones to be destroyed. The result of this would be the formation of new species." [Charles Darwin]

BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY Case

evolution and biocomplexity

path to Darwin

- Evolution by natural selection
 - Organisms vary from one another
 - New variation appears from time to time
 - Variation is passed from parent to offspring
 - "struggle for existence" (limited resources)
- Recognized before Darwin
 - Empedocles (490–430 BC)
 why animals adapt to environment
 - Lucretius (99 55 BC) Epicurus (341-270 BC)
 Random evolution, free will (Cosma Shalizi citing Aristotle citing) Empedocles:
 - Al-Jahiz (781 869 AD)
 - on the struggle for existence
 - Thomas Hobbes (XVII)
 - Erasmus Darwin (XVIII)
 - Thomas Malthus (XVIII)
 - Populations grow exponentially, re
 - Charles Lyell (XIX)
 - Gradual change in geological land
 Jean-Baptiste Lamarck (XIX)
 - Jean-Daptiste Lamarck (AIA)
 Mechanism: mutation and (acquire
 - Alfred Russel Wallace
 - Reached same conclusion as Darv
 - Charles Darwin
 - Evolution, inevitable
- A difficulty presents itself: why should not nature work, not for the sake of something, nor because it is better so, but just as the sky rains, not in order to make the corn grow, but of necessity? What is drawn up must cool, and what has been cooled must become water and descend, the result of this being that the corn grows. Similarly if a man's crop is spoiled on the threshing-floor, the rain did not fall for the sake of this--in order that the crop might be spoiled--but that result just followed. Why then should it not be the same with the parts in nature, e.g. that teeth should come up of necessity -- the front teeth sharp, fitted for tearing, the molars broad and useful for grinding down the food -- since they did not arise for this end, but it was merely a coincident result; and so with all other parts in which we suppose that there is purpose? Wherever then all the parts came about just what they would have been if they had come be for an end, such things <u>sur</u>our<u>vived</u>, being organized spontaneously in a <u>fitting</u> way; whereas those which grew otherwise perished and continue to perish, as Empedocles says his 'man-faced ox-progeny' did.

"I happened to read for amusement Malthus on population, and being well prepared to appreciate the struggle for existence...it at once struck me that under these circumstances favourable variations would tend to be preserved, and unfavourable ones to be destroyed. The result of this would be the formation of new species." [Charles Darwin]

BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY case

evolution and biocomplexity

path to Darwin

· ·	 Evolution by natural selection Organisms vary from one another New variation appears from time to time Variation is passed from parent to offspring "struggle for existence" (limited resources) Recognized before Darwin Empedocles (490–430 BC) why animals adapt to environment Lucretius (99 - 55 BC) – Epicurus (341-270 BC) Random evolution, free will Al-Jahiz (781 – 86 on the struggle for Thomas Hobbes (Erasmus Darwin (Thomas Malthus (Populations growing Charles Lyell (XIX) Gradual charger Jean-Baptiste Lare Alfred Russel Wall 		
	 Reached same conclusion as Darwin (with less evidence) Charles Darwin Evolution, inevitable 	120	

"I happened to read for amusement Malthus on population, and being well prepared to appreciate the struggle for existence...it at once struck me that under these circumstances favourable variations would tend to be preserved, and unfavourable ones to be destroyed. The result of this would be the formation of new species." [Charles Darwin]

BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY casc

evolution

Inheritance mechanism

XIX Century

- Evolution of species quickly accepted
- Natural selection as most important engine of change, was not
 What was the mechanism?
- What was the mechanism?
- Jean-Baptiste Lamarck (XIX)
 - mutation and (acquired) inheritance
- Charles Darwin
 - "gemules" ejected from each tissue and traveling to sex organs
- Gregor Mendel
 - discrete factors corresponding to traits
 - Each individual would carry two copies (one from each parent), but only one would be "expressed"
- "Synthesis" only in the XX century





Sci. American, Jan 2009



BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY

the discovery of the genetic tape

identifying the loci of genetic information

- Frederick Griffith's experiment
 - In 1928: Identified a "transforming principle"
- Avery's experiment
 - Oswald Avery, Colin MacLeod, and Maclyn McCarty
 - 1944: DNA as the loci of "transformation"
 - Chemically knocking off various cellular constituents until trying DNA
 - Considerable resistance in the community accepting this result until the early 1950's (Schrodinger, Delbruck, phage group)



Von Neumann's generalization of Turing's tape

as a general principle (system) of self-replication

Von Neumann's generalization of Turing's tape

as a general principle (system) of evolution or open-ended complexity

