

Coastlines

Lewis Richardson's observations (1961)

















regular volumes

Integer dimensions







BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK



dimension of fractal curves

Koch curve

videos





BINGHAMTON U N I V E R S I T Y STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

mathematical monsters

 Complex objects are defined by systematically and recursively replacing parts of a simple start object with another object according to a simple rule

• Cantor Set

<i>n</i> =0				
<i>n</i> =1				
n =2				
	11-11	11.11	11.11	11.11
$n ightarrow \infty$	11 11	II II	11-11	
	Cantor Set Take a line, chop out the middle third and repeat ad infinitum. The resulting fractal is larger than a solitary point but smaller than a continuous line. Its Hausdorff dimension [<i>see below</i>] is 0.6309.			

Scientific American, July 2008





Hausdorff Dimension

BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

mathematical monsters

- Complex objects are defined by systematically and recursively replacing parts of a simple start object with another object according to a simple rule
 - Sierpinski Gasket



Sierpiński Gasket A triangle from which ever smaller subtriangles have been cut, this figure is intermediate between a one-dimensional line and a 2-D surface. Its Hausdorff dimension is 1.5850.

Scientific American, July 2008

$$D = \frac{\log N}{\log\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)} = 1.585$$

Hausdorff Dimension



BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

mathematical monsters

- Complex objects are defined by systematically and recursively replacing parts of a simple start object with another object according to a simple rule
 - Sierpinski Gasket



mathematical monsters

- Complex objects are defined by systematically and recursively replacing parts of a simple start object with another object according to a simple rule
 - Menger sponge



dimension of fractal curves

Box-counting dimension



Peano and Hilbert Curves

Filling planes and volumes



Peano and Hilbert Curves

Filling planes and volumes



fractal features

Self-similarity on multiple scales

- Due to recursion
- Fractal dimension
 - Enclosed in a given space, but with infinite number of points or measurement





fractal-like designs in Nature

reducing volume







How do these packed volumes and recursive morphologies grow?

BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

modelling the World

Hertzian scientific modeling paradigm



"The most direct and in a sense the most important problem which our conscious knowledge of nature should enable us to solve is the **anticipation of future events**, so that we may arrange our present affairs in accordance with such anticipation". (Hertz, 1894)



What about our plant?

branching as a general system



- Requires
 - Varying angles
 - Varying stem lengths
 - randomness
- The Fibonacci Model is similar
 - Initial State: b
 - ∎ b -> a
 - a -> ab
- sneezewort







BINGHAMTON	rocha@indiana.edu
UNIVERSITY	casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

L-Systems

Aristid Lindenmeyer

- Mathematical formalism proposed by the biologist Aristid Lindenmayer in 1968 as a foundation for an axiomatic theory of biological development.
 - applications in computer graphics
 - Generation of fractals and realistic modeling of plants
 - Grammar for rewriting Symbols
 - Production Grammar // //
 - Defines complex objects by successively replacing parts of a simple object using a set of recursive, rewriting rules or productions.
 - Beyond one-dimensional production (Chomsky)
 grammars
 - Parallel recursion
 - Access to computers





BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

L-systems

formal notation of the production system



branching L-Systems

casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

production rules for artificial plants





UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK



rocha@indiana.edu casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

Depth	Resulting String
0	В
1	F[-B][+B]
2	FF[-F[-B][+B]]+[+F[-B][+B]]
3	FFFF[-FF[-F[-B][+B]][+F[-B][+B]]]+[FF[-F[-B][+B]][+F[-B][+B]]]

F

В





Axiom



B

F

F

parametric L-systems



- $A(t) \rightarrow B(tx3)$

 - Growth can be modulated by time
 Varying length of braches, rotation degrees



parametric L-systems Discrete nature of L-systems makes it difficult to model continuous phenomena Numerical parameters can be associated with L-system symbols • Parameters control the effect of productions • $A(t) \rightarrow B(tx3)$ Growth can be modulated by time Varying length of braches, rotation degrees 00:00:00 rocha@indiana.edu **BINGHAMTON** casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic UNIVERS

parametric L-system

example

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega & : & B(2)A(4,4) \\ p_1 & : & A(x,y) & : y <= 3 & \to & A(x*2,x+y) \\ p_2 & : & A(x,y) & : y > 3 & \to & B(x)A(x/y,0) \\ p_3 & : & B(x) & : x < 1 & \to & C \\ p_4 & : & B(x) & : x >= 1 & \to & B(x-1) \end{array}$$

operate on *parametric words*, which are strings of modules consisting of symbols with associated parameters and their rules

From: P. Prusinkiewicz and A. Lindenmayer [1991]. *The Algorithmic Beauty of Plants*.





stochastic L-systems

Probabilistic production rules

•
$$A \rightarrow BC$$
 ($P = 0.3$)

•
$$A \rightarrow F A$$
 ($P = 0.5$)

•
$$A \rightarrow A B$$
 ($P = 0.2$)







http://coco.ccu.uniovi.es/malva/sketchbook/



rocha@indiana.edu casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

Context-sensitive L-systems

2L-Systems

- Production rules depend on neighbor symbols in input string
 - simulates interaction between different parts
 - necessary to model information exchange between neighboring components
- 2L-Systems
 - P: $a_1 < a > a_r \rightarrow X$
 - P1: A<F>A → A
 - P2: A<F>F → F
- 1L-Systems
 - P: $a_1 < a \rightarrow X \text{ or } P: a > a_r \rightarrow X$
- Generalized to IL-Systems
 - (k,l)-system
 - left (right) context is a word of length k(l)



BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

parametric 2L-system

example







convenient tool for expressing developmental models with *diffusion of substances* pattern of cells in Anabaena catenula and other blue-green bacteria

From: P. Prusinkiewicz and A. Lindenmayer [1991]. The Algorithmic Reality of Plena binghamton.edu casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic UNIVERSITY

parametric 2L-system

example



UNIVERSITY

Turtle graphics

Drawing words



state of turtle defined as (x, y, α) , coordinates (position) and angle (heading). Moves according to *step size* d and *angle increment* δ

- F Move forward a step of length d. The state of the turtle changes to (x', y', α) , where $x' = x + d \cos \alpha$ and $y' = y + d \sin \alpha$. A line segment between points (x, y) and (x', y') is drawn.
- f Move forward a step of length d without drawing a line.
- + Turn left by angle δ . The next state of the turtle is $(x, y, \alpha + \delta)$. The positive orientation of angles is counterclockwise.
- Turn right by angle δ . The next state of the turtle is $(x, y, \alpha \delta)$.



THE VIRTUAL LABORATORY

From: P. Prusinkiewicz and A. Lindenmayer [1991]. *The Algorithmic Beauty of Plants*.



L-systems

alphabet handling by Turtle







Turtle graphics

example

automatic design of basic shapes

robots

- Evolutionary design of robots
 - Difficult to reach high complexities necessary for practical engineering
 - Karl Sims and Jordan Pollack, Hod Lipson, Gregory Hornby, and Pablo Funes claim that for automatic design to scale in complexity it must employ re-used modules
 - Sims,K. [1994]. "Evolving Virtual Creatures". *Proceedings of the 21st annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques*, pp. 15 22.
 - H. Lipson and J. B. Pollack (2000), "Automatic design and Manufacture of Robotic Lifeforms", *Nature* **406**: 974-978.
 - generative representation to encode individuals in the population.
 - Indirect representation: an algorithm for creating a design.
 - using Lindenmayer systems (L-systems)
 - evolved locomotiong robots (called *genobots*).

BINGHAMTON U N I V E R S I T Y STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

L-systems

models or realistic imitations?

- Common features (design principle) between artificial and real plants
 - Development of (macro-level) morphology from local (micro-level) logic
 - Parallel application of simple rules
 - Genetic vs. algorithmic
 - Recursion
- But are the algorithms the same as the biological *mechanism*?
 - Real organisms need to economize information for coding complex phenotypes
 - The genome cannot encode every ripple of the brain or lungs
 - Organisms need to encode compact procedures for producing the same pattern (with randomness) again and again
- But recursion alone does not explain form and morphogenesis
 - One of the design principles involved
 - There are others
 - Selection, genetic variation, self-organization, epigenetics

fern gametophyte Microsorium linguaeforme (left) and a simulated model using map L systems (right).

BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY casci.binghamton.edu/academics/i-bic

Next lectures

readings	
 Class Book Floreano, D. and C. Mattiussi [2008]. <i>Bio-Inspired Artificial Intelligence: Theories, and Technologies</i>. MIT Press. Chapter 2. 	Methods,
 Lecture notes Chapter 1: What is Life? Chapter 2: The logical Mechanisms of Life Chapter 3: Formalizing and Modeling the World posted online @ http://informatics.indiana.edu/rocha/i-bic Papers and other materials 	
 Optional Nunes de Castro, Leandro [2006]. Fundamentals of Natural Computing: Basic Concepts, Alg Applications. Chapman & Hall. Chapter 2, all sections Chapter 7, sections 7.3 – Cellular Automata Chapter 8, sections 8.1, 8.2, 8.3.10 Flake's [1998], The Computational Beauty of Life. MIT Press. Chapters 10, 11, 14 – Dynamics, Attractors and chaos Prusinkiewicz and Lindenmeyer [1996] The algorithmic beauty of plants. Chapter 1 	porithms, and

BINGHAMTON U N I V E R S I T Y state UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK