1	Emerging Telepresence Technologies in Hybrid Learning Environments
2	HOUDA EL-MIMOUNI, University of Indiana, Bloomington, USA
4	JOHN PAULIN HANSEN, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark
5 6	SUSAN HERRING, University of Indiana, Bloomington, USA
7	IAMES MARCIN University of California Davis USA
8	MARTA ORDUNA Universidad Politácnica de Madrid Spain
10	DABLO DÉDEZ Nahia Dall alta Spain
11	IDENIE DAE og 1 vikt
12 13	IRENE RAE, Google, USA
14	JANET C. READ, University of Central Lancashire, UK
15	JENNIFER RODE, University College London, UK
16	SELMA SABANOVIC, University of Indiana, Bloomington, USA
18	VERÓNICA AHUMADA-NEWHART, University of California, Davis, USA
19	ABSTRACT
20	The last several years have shown a strong growth of tele-robotic technologies with promising results for many areas of learning. HCI
22	has contributed to these discussions, mainly with studies on user experiences and user interfaces of telepresence robots. However,
23	there are only a few telerobot studies that make it to the real world and everyday use in learning environments. In the post-COVID-19
24	world, the sociotechnical uncertainties and unforeseen challenges to learning in hybrid learning environments creates a unique frontier
25	where robotic and immersive technologies can mediate learning experiences. The aim of this workshop is to set the stage for a new
26	wave of HCI research that accounts for and begins to develop new insights, concepts, and methods for using immersive and tele-robotic
27	technologies in real-world learning environments. Participants are invited to collaboratively define an HCI research agenda focused
29	on robot-mediated learning in the wild, which will require examining end-user engagements and questioning underlying concepts of
30	telerobots for learning.
31	
32	$CCS\ Concepts: \bullet\ \mathbf{Computer}\ \mathbf{systems}\ \mathbf{organization} \to \mathbf{Embedded}\ \mathbf{systems}; \ \textit{Redundancy}; \ Robotics; \bullet\ \mathbf{Networks} \to Network\ relia-network$
33 34	bility.
35	
36	Additional Key Words and Phrases: hybrid classroom, telerobot, learning technologies, telepresence
37	
38	ACM Reference Format:
39 40	Houda El-Mimouni, John Paulin Hansen, Susan Herring, James Marcin, Marta Orduna, Pablo Perez, Irene Rae, Janet C. Read, Jennifer
41	Kode, Seima Sabanovic, and Veronica Anumada-Newnart. 2022. Emerging Telepresence Technologies in Hybrid Learning Environments.
42	III CHI 22: ACM Conjetence on Human Factors in Computing Systems, April 50- May 00, 2022, New Orleans, LA. ACM, New Tork, N1,
43	OSA, / pages. https://doi.org/10.1143/1122443.1122430
44	
45 46	Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not
47	made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be boored. Abstracting with gradit is parmitted. To copy otherwise, or resulting to a copyright of the section of the secti
48	redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
49	© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.
50	Manuscript submitted to ACM
31	

1

52

53 1 BACKGROUND

54

68

69

70

71 72

73

COVID-19 has impacted all aspects of human life and the expectation is that we will be managing its impact for years
 to come. In the spring of 2020, many educational institutions temporarily transitioned to online teaching and learning.
 While the pandemic made online teaching and learning a necessity, it also highlighted the need for hybrid classrooms
 (where some learners are physically present and others are attending virtually). There is an enthusiasm to learn from
 these experiences in order to broaden education, and to better accommodate the needs of remote students for short-term
 or long-term periods of time due to medical conditions, disabilities or other mitigating circumstances.

During the pandemic, when all learners were expected to be remote, conventional video conferencing tools (e.g., Zoom,
 Microsoft Teams) were used by necessity but not without limitations (e.g., lack of bandwidth, internet accessibility).
 These tools, initially designed for corporate use, are extremely useful when everyone is remote. However, we recognize
 that static online video-conferencing tools are not ideal for hybrid classroom discussions, group work, and design
 activities such as sketching and diagramming, as well as creating and manipulating physical prototypes.

Researchers have identified a correlation between the frequency of students' interactions with their school environment, and students' motivation and engagement levels [1, 3, 4]. Students who physically attend classes and interact with their classmates, instructors, and school environments tend to be more behaviorally, emotionally, and cognitively ready to be involved in the classroom activities and learning processes. Thus, remote attendance technologies that promote direct social interaction would be expected to be beneficial for remote learners [2, 7].

74 Emerging telepresence technologies such as stationary and mobile telerobots, e.g., Mobile Robotic Presence (MRP), 75 stationary telepresence robots, robotic arms, and holograms), have the potential of alleviating the problem of social 76 interaction for students who are not able to attend in-person, attenuating the limitation of inaccessibility, and creating 77 78 a more inclusive classroom environment. Concretely, these technologies allow the interchange of non-verbal signals 79 between participants that greatly influence the effectiveness of in person communications [8]. For example: MRPs which 80 consist of a video conferencing system mounted on a mobile base that a remote user can move [5, 11, 22], offer mobility, 81 82 thus meeting the needs of navigating a remote space and around an object to view it from different perspectives. 83 According to Rae et al. [19] robot-mediated communication takes us one step closer to face-to-face interaction. Other 84 studies found that MRP improved the interpersonal social connections between the user and interlocutor [6, 14, 18, 24], 85 and empowered the users [13, 15, 23]. Another example is the robotic arm, which is a less familiar type of telerobot 86 87 and consists of a stationary moveable limb such as an arm combined with a source of visual information from the 88 local environment [16, 20]. A robotic arm can manipulate objects and make sketches on a whiteboard. Another path to alleviate the difficulties of not being physically present in the classroom is the use of immersive technologies (e.g., VR) 90 for telepresence [10, 12]. By having a fully immersive experience, remote learners can increase the sense of presence 91 92 in the classroom, which has a positive impact in the conveyance of empathy and attention. [9, 17, 21]. While these 93 technologies have affordances, they also present limitations. For instance, many commercially-available MRP units 94 do not have hands to manipulate objects, many robotic arms cannot move independently around the classroom, and 95 wearing VR headsets for long periods of time causes fatigue to the users. Likewise, these technologies have opened 96 97 privacy challenges for students and teachers that must be analyzed and discussed.

In this workshop, we will give participants the opportunity to discuss the impacts, affordances, and limitations of different emerging telepresence technologies that can be used in different learning contexts. In particular, we expect to discuss the following (and other related) topics:

101 102

98

99

100

• Emerging telepresence technologies used in learning environments

103 104

- Case studies in classrooms and other learning contexts that use telepresence technologies
- Challenges surrounding the use of telepresence technologies in learning environments
 - Best practices on the use of telepresence technologies in learning environments
 - Ethics and social norms in classrooms where telepresent learners are included
 - Telepresence technologies for students with medical conditions and/or disabilities
 - Design methods and principles for the development of telepresence technologies

2 PARTICIPATION

 This workshop is intended for HCI researchers, designers, and educators who are interested in or may be using telepresence technologies in hybrid learning environments. Workshop applicants are invited to submit two pages in the CHI extended abstract format submitted via email to x@email.com. Participants will include in their submission: details about their research interests, a short motivation statement describing why they want to participate in this workshop, and their experiences with remote attendance research and/or telepresence research.

3 ORGANIZERS AND KEYNOTE SPEAKER

This workshop will be organized by a team of researchers who are currently working in the area of Human-Computer Interaction and Human-Robot Interaction:

• Houda El-Mimouni, PhD

Houda will be the main contact person for the workshop. She is a Computing Innovation Fellow and Postdoctoral Researcher in the Department of Informatics at Indiana University Bloomington. Her main current research area is mobile robotic telepresence in the classroom. She previously organized a SIG on telepresence at CHI2018.

• Veronica Ahumada-Newhart, PhD

Veronica is an assistant professor of health informatics and human-robot interaction in the School of Medicine, Dept. of Pediatrics and Center for Health Technology at UC Davis. She brings expertise on robot-mediated child development and learning. Her research on telepresence won an award at CHI 2014 and she is PI of an NSF grant on Robot-Mediated Learning: Exploring School-Deployed Collaborative Robots.

Selma Sabanovic, PhD

Selma is an Associate Professor of Informatics and Cognitive Science at Indiana University Bloomington. Her work focuses on the design and user evaluation of social robots in diverse applications, including education, and contexts, such as schools, homes, and different countries. She led a project on the co-design of robot telepresence for local communities with elementary and high school students.

Susan Herring, PhD

Susan C. Herring is Professor of Information Science and Linguistics and Director of the Center for Computer-Mediated Communication at Indiana University, Bloomington. For the past 30 years she has been researching structural, pragmatic, interactional, and social phenomena in communication mediated by digital technologies. Her recent research focuses on multimodal CMC and communication through emerging technologies such as telepresence robots and smart speakers. A past editor of the Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, she currently edits the journal Language@Internet.

- John Paulin Hansen, PhD
 - John is a professor at Technical University of Denmark, working for many years in the design and development

El-mimouni and Hansen, et al.

157	of assistive technologies. Currently, he studies gaze controlled telerobotics and the use of exoskeletons for
158	rehabilitation.
159	Marta Orduna, PhD student
160	Marta is a PhD student at Grupo de Tratamiento de Imágenes (Image Processing Group) of the UPM. Her current
162	research is in the area of virtual reality, video encoding and streaming, and quality of experience
163	• Pablo Pérez, PhD
164	Pable is conject resconsher at Nalrie Pall Labe in Madrid Spain His resconsh interests cover the whole area of
165	Pablo is senior researcher at Nokia ben Labs in Madrid, Spani. His research interests cover the whole area of
166	real-time immersive communications and telepresence, from the compression and transmission problems to the
167	user quality of experience.
168	Jennifer Rode, PhD
170	Jennifer is an Associate Professor at the Knowledge Lab in the Institute of Education at UCL. A member of the
171	initial SIG in 2018 she brings a mature understanding of teleprescence and accessibility to this workshop.
172	• Janet C Read, PhD
173	Janet is a Full Professor of Child Computer Interaction with a keen interest in new and emerging technologies
174	for children. Based in the UK she brings her experience of working with schools, and with children with a range
175	of disabilities, as well as expertise in co-design to this workshop.
170	• James P. Marcin, M.D., M.P.H.
178	James Marcin is a Professor of Pediatrics and Director of the Center for Health and Technology at the University
179	of California Davis. He brings expertise in pediatric telebealth access and school-based tele-physiatry assistance
180	for children with special health care needs
181	
182	• Irene Rae, PhD
184	
185	
186	KEYNOTE SPEAKER
187	• Laurel Riek, PhD
188	Dr. Laurel Riek is a professor of Computer Science and Engineering and Emergency Medicine at UC San Diego,
189	and leads research in healthcare robotics and human robot interaction. Current projects include designing
190	accessible technologies to support robot-mediated inclusion for children with disabilities, and robots that support
192	longitudinal neurorehabilitation for adults with dementia. Dr. Riek is the HRI 2023 General Chair, and served as
193	the HRI 2020 Program Chair.
194	
195	4 WEBSITE
196 197	The workshop website is available at https://TBD. The website will have the call for participation, submission dates
198	and submission instructions. It will also provide background information about the tonic organizer bios, workshop
199	and submission instructions. It will also provide background morniation about the topic, organizer blos, workshop
	schedule and participation requirements. The list of accepted papers will be posted on the website and will be available

200 for download. 201

202 203

5 PRE-WORKSHOP PLANS

204 We will publish a Call for Participation in all relevant venues including HCI, HRI and UX mailing lists and social 205 media platforms, we will actively target the AccessSIGCHI community on Facebook and the Assets mailing list and we 206 reach out to educators who are interested in, or may be using telepresence technologies via the SIGCSE mailing lists 207 208

and through professional contacts. We will use our website to provide relevant details for submission and to answer
 questions from participants. We will review submissions and post the accepted papers on the website for all participants
 to review before the workshop.

6 WORKSHOP STRUCTURE

This workshop is planned to run for one day. An innovation in this workshop will be that we will include telepresent participants if the conference is held in-person. This will enthuse discussion, highlight logistical challenges and force improvised resolutions. We will begin with a keynote talk from an internationally recognized roboticist followed by a QA session and then participants will have an opportunity to give a 3-5 minute lightning talk on their papers. The afternoon sessions will be dedicated to discussions, ideations, and prototyping. Participants will work in small groups and then each group will share their ideas and prototypes.

7 TIME SCHEDULE

9:00: Coffee & Prep

213

214 215

216

217

218 219

220

221

222 223 224

225

239

240 241

242 243

244

245

246 247

248

249

250

251 252

253

254

255 256

257

258

259 260

- 9:30: Introductions & Welcome
- ²²⁸ 10:00: Keynote Speaker (Laurel Riek, PhD) + Q&A
- ²²⁹ 10:30: Coffee break
- 231 10:45: Lighting Talks: Each participant presents their paper in 3-5 min
- 232 12:15: Lunch break
- ²³³ 14:00: Participants split into groups to discuss issues around telepresence in hybrid classrooms
- ²³⁴ 14:45: Groups present their ideas
- 15:15: Coffee break
- 15:30: Participants split into groups to discuss ideas and prototype solutions to support learning
- ²³⁸ 16:15: Groups present their ideas
 - 16:45: Closing

8 CALL FOR PARTICIPATION

We invite position papers for the one-day Workshop on "Emerging Telepresence Technologies in Hybrid Learning Environments" at CHI 2022.

This workshop is intended for HCI researchers, designers and educators who are interested in remote classroom attendance via telepresence. The aim is to explore novel forms of interaction mediated by telepresence technologies such as, and not limited to, holograms, Beams, Doubles, Kubis, robotic arms. We will discuss their impacts and affordances for learning.

Workshop participants are required to submit position papers of two pages in the CHI extended abstract format submitted via email to x@email.com. Participants will need to further include details about their research interests, a short motivation statement describing why they want to participate in this workshop and their experience with remote attendance research and/or telepresence research. Potential topics for papers may include:

- Emerging telepresence technologies used in learning environments
- Case studies in classrooms and other learning contexts that use telepresence technologies
- Challenges surrounding the use of telepresence technologies in learning environments

- Best practices for educators in the use of telepresence technologies in learning environments
 - Ethics and Social norms in classrooms where telepresent learners are included
 - Telepresence technologies for students with medical conditions and/or disabilities
 - Design methods and principles for the development of telepresence technologies
- All submissions will be reviewed based on the relevance, diversity of topics and the quality of the position paper by the workshop organizers. At least one author of each accepted paper must register for the workshop and attend at least one day of the conference.

Please submit your paper via email to email@email.com. More details about the submission for this workshop can be found on our workshop website: www.website.com

- The list of accepted papers will be posted on the website and will be available for download. Important dates:
- 274 275 276

261 262

263

264

265 266

267

268 269

270

271

272

273

277

278

279

280 281 282

283

289

290 291

292

293 294 295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

- Call for participation: December 16, 2021
- Submission deadline: February 24, 2022
- Notification date: TBD (7 days before early registration deadline)
- Camera ready due: TBD (before early registration deadline)
- 9 POST-WORKSHOP PLANS

With our workshop participants we will produce a report for ACM Interactions highlighting our main findings, proposing an agenda for the future and incorporating sketches and paper prototypes that address the challenges and opportunities of using telepresence technologies in the context of hybrid learning environments. We will also look to propose a follow-up workshop at CHI or a related venue.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To all participants in our research studies who give of their valuable time to build a collective voice on telepresence technologies and to all the funding agencies who make this work possible (e.g., NSF, NIH)

REFERENCES

- Veronica Ahumada-Newhart and Jacquelynne S Eccles. 2020. A Theoretical and Qualitative Approach to Evaluating Children's Robot-Mediated Levels of Presence. Technology, Mind, and Behavior 1, 1 (2020).
- [2] Veronica Ahumada-Newhart and Judith S Olson. 2019. Going to school on a robot: Robot and user interface design features that matter. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI) 26, 4 (2019), 1–28.
- [3] Terry Anderson. 2003. Getting the mix right again: An updated and theoretical rationale for interaction. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning 4, 2 (2003).
- [4] Robert M Bernard, Philip C Abrami, Eugene Borokhovski, C Anne Wade, Rana M Tamim, Michael A Surkes, and Edward Clement Bethel. 2009. A meta-analysis of three types of interaction treatments in distance education. Review of Educational research 79, 3 (2009), 1243–1289.
- [5] Munjal Desai, Katherine M Tsui, Holly A Yanco, and Chris Uhlik. 2011. Essential features of telepresence robots. In 2011 IEEE Conference on Technologies for Practical Robot Applications. IEEE, 15–20.
- [6] Naomi T Fitter, Luke Rush, Elizabeth Cha, Thomas Groechel, Maja J Matarić, and Leila Takayama. 2020. Closeness is Key over Long Distances: Effects of Interpersonal Closeness on Telepresence Experience. In Proceedings of the 2020 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction. 499–507.
- [7] Bas Giesbers, Bart Rienties, Dirk Tempelaar, and Wim Gijselaers. 2013. Investigating the relations between motivation, tool use, participation, and performance in an e-learning course using web-videoconferencing. *Computers in Human Behavior* 29, 1 (2013), 285–292.
- [8] F. Grondin, A. M. Lomanowska, and P. L. Jackson. 2019. Empathy in Computer-Mediated Interactions: A Conceptual Framework for Research and
 Clinical Practice. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* 26, 4 (July 2019), e12298.
- 312

339

340

341

- [9] Lasse Jensen and Flemming Konradsen. 2018. A review of the use of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. *Education and Information Technologies* 23, 4 (2018), 1515–1529.
- [10] Redouane Kachach, Marta Orduna, Jesús Rodríguez, Pablo Pérez, Álvaro Villegas, Julián Cabrera, and Narciso García. 2021. Immersive Telepresence
 in Remote Education. In Proceedings of the International Workshop on Immersive Mixed and Virtual Environment Systems (MMVE'21). 21–24.
- [11] Annica Kristoffersson, Silvia Coradeschi, and Amy Loutfi. 2013. A review of mobile robotic telepresence. Advances in Human-Computer Interaction 2013 (2013).
- [12] J. Li, V. Vinayagamoorthy, R. Schwartz, W. IJsselsteijn, D. A. Shamma, and P. Cesar. 2020. Social VR: A New Medium for Remote Communication and Collaboration. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–8.
- [13] Carman Neustaedter, Gina Venolia, Jason Procyk, and Daniel Hawkins. 2016. To Beam or not to Beam: A study of remote telepresence attendance at an academic conference. In *Proceedings of the 19th acm conference on computer-supported cooperative work & social computing*. 418–431.
- [14] Veronica Ahumada Newhart and Judith S Olson. 2017. My student is a robot: How schools manage telepresence experiences for students. In
 Proceedings of the 2017 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems. 342–347.
- [15] Veronica Ahumada Newhart, Mark Warschauer, and Leonard Sender. 2016. Virtual inclusion via telepresence robots in the classroom: An exploratory
 case study. The International Journal of Technologies in Learning 23, 4 (2016), 9–25.
- [16] Yuya Onishi, Kazuaki Tanaka, and Hideyuki Nakanishi. 2016. Embodiment of video-mediated communication enhances social telepresence. In
 Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Human Agent Interaction. 171–178.
- [17] Marta Orduna, Pablo Pérez, Jesús Gutiérrez, and Narciso García. 2021. Methodology to Assess Quality, Presence, Empathy, Attitude, and Attention in Social VR: International Experiences Use Case. arXiv:2103.02550 [cs.MM]
- [18] Irene Rae, Leila Takayama, and Bilge Mutlu. 2012. One of the gang: supporting in-group behavior for embodied mediated communication. In
 Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 3091–3100.
- [19] Irene Rae, Leila Takayama, and Bilge Mutlu. 2013. In-body experiences: embodiment, control, and trust in robot-mediated communication. In
 Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1921–1930.
- [20] Hidekazu Saegusa, Kerem Özcan, and Daniela K Rosner. 2015. Papetto: Crafting Embodied Co-Presence in Video Chat. In Proceedings of the Tenth
 Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction Extended Abstracts. 305–305.
- [21] M. Salminen, S. Járvelá, A. Ruonala, V. Harjunen, G. Jacucci, J. Hamari, and N. Ravaja. 2019. Evoking Physiological Synchrony and Empathy Using
 Social VR with Biofeedback. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing* (Dec. 2019). Early Access.
- [22] Leila Takayama. 2015. Telepresence and apparent agency in human-robot interaction. *The handbook of the psychology of communication technology* 32 (2015), 160.
 - [23] Luca Tonin, Tom Carlson, Robert Leeb, and José del R Millán. 2011. Brain-controlled telepresence robot by motor-disabled people. In 2011 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE, 4227–4230.
 - [24] Gina Venolia, John Tang, Ruy Cervantes, Sara Bly, George Robertson, Bongshin Lee, and Kori Inkpen. 2010. Embodied social proxy: mediating interpersonal connection in hub-and-satellite teams. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1049–1058.

7