## Aspect as a Discourse Category in Tamil Susan C. Herring University of California, Berkeley

### 1. Introduction.

A handful of linguists writing within the past decade, most notably Hopper (1979, 1982) and Givon (1979), but more recently also Du Bois (1987), have advanced the view that the process of grammaticization proceeds, both diachronically and synchronically, from discourse on down to the sentence or morphosyntactic level. In other words, rather than deriving discourse functions from extensions of a core meaning associated with a particular grammatical form, as has traditionally been done (cf. Comrie 1985:26), they have essentially reversed the process by claiming that the 'core meaning' is itself a discourse function which gives rise to various 'additive' meanings, some of which become grammaticized (or 'syntacticized') in the formal structure of a language.

As evidence for this claim, Hopper discusses the case of aspectual marking, and in particular, 'perfective' marking, as it relates to narrative discourse in a number of languages. He argues that the sense of completion associated with perfective aspect derives from a more fundamental discourse function, which is that of signalling successive events in narration. The fact that events in sequence must be discrete and bounded gives rise, in turn, to the perfective or completive interpretation of individual events. The completive interpretation tends to grammaticize in individual languages as perfective or perfect aspect, or as past tense. In Literary Malay, for example, the primary use of the focus particle lah is to signal major sequential events, i.e. in narration. When lah appears with a nominal element in a sentence in isolation, it has an emphatic function, as in example 1):

 Anjing-lah yang hilang, bukan kuchingdog which lost not cat 'It was a dog I lost, not a cat.'

When the same particle follows a verb, however, it appears to function as a marker of completion:

 Mati-lah anak raju itu. die prince the 'The prince died/has died.'

The example of Literary Malay therefore provides an illustration of the principle of extension of meaning from discourse to sentence level, according to Hopper.

Leaving aside for the moment the larger theoretical implications of this claim, the evidence regarding the discourse origins of perfectivity is intriguing, and merits further consideration. The purpose of this paper is to present new evidence which supports the claim that perfective aspect is inherently a discourse phenomenon.

#### 2. The Tamil situation.

The data are from Tamil, a Dravidian language with agglutinative morphology and OV word order. In addition to three simple morphological tenses (past, present, future), Tamil has three aspectual auxiliaries which derive diachronically from verbs meaning 'to leave, let' (vitu), 'to be holding' (kontiru), ' and 'to be'

(iru), and which express the notions 'completion', 'continuation', and 'perfect of resultant state', respectively. Of the three, the so-called 'completive' auxiliary vitu is the most frequently used in the modern language, and also the most difficult to characterize semantically. It has been described variously as 'completive' (Dale 1975; Annamalai 1985), 'definitive' (Shanmukam Pillai 1968; Paramasivam 1983), and 'intensive' (Arden 1942; Kumaraswami Raja 1966). The situation is further complicated by the fact that the auxiliary vitu has a different meaning when it appears in its so-called "conjunctive" (non-finite, also known as adverbial participle) form, i.e. between clauses intra-sententially, than when it is associated with the finite verb. In its former, non-finite use, it is disjunctive, separating clauses into discrete events essentially unrelated except by their occurrence in temporal sequence.

- 3) Kumār tavuņukku pöy-viţţu pāntiyan öttalil cappitţan. K. town-dat go-AvP-viţu-AvP Pandian Hotel-loc eat-P3ms 'Kumar went to town and (then he) ate at the Pandian Hotel.' (e.g. in reply to the question "What did Kumar do today?" The Pandian Hotel may or may not be located in town.)
- 4) Kumār tavuņukku pöy pāņţiyan öţţalil câppiţţān. K. town-dat go-AvP Pandian Hotel-loc eat-P3ms 'Kumar went to town and ate at the Pandian Hotel.' (The Pandian Hotel is located in town.)

This disjunctive function recalls the intransitive lexical meaning of the verb vi(u, which is 'to leave (off doing something)', as opposed to its transitive meaning, 'to let (something go)'; e.g., 'Kumar went to town, left off (doing that), and (then) ate at the Pandian Hotel.' Vi(u still functions as a fully independent verb in Modern Tamil, as in 5):

Patināru vayatāka irukkum potu, kumār vīţţai viţţān.
 16 years-adv be-FAjP time, K. house-acc leave-P3ms 'Kumar left home when he was sixteen.'

In addition to the main verb viţu and the 'completive' auxiliary viţu, Annamalai (1985) identifies another verbal auxiliary of the same form and conjugation which has extended the transitive meaning 'let' to that of 'release (of direct object following the action of the main verb)', and by extension, 'release into the hands of an intermediary', as in examples 6) and 7):

- 6) Ammā makaļukku pēņ pārttu-viţţāļ, mother daughter-dat lice search-AvP-release-P3fs "The mother picked lice for her daughter."
- Un caikkilai läriyil ērri-viţukirēn. your bike-acc truck-loc load-AvP-release-Pr1s
   I'll send your bicycle by truck' (i.e. have sent via an intermediary).

The differences between the two auxiliary vitus are not only semantic but phonological, in that the initial syllable 'vi-' drops out in the aspectual use in the spoken language, but remains with the other. If both are present modifying the same verb, they occur in the order vitu(release) vitu(perfective), with tense, person,

gender, and number inflections attached to the latter, as in example 8):

8) Kumārukku pālai aņuppi-viţţu-(vi)ţţāyā? K.-dat milk-acc send-AvP-release-AvP-pfv-P2s-Q 'Did you finish having the milk sent to Kumar?/ Did you get the milk sent to Kumar?' (i.e. via an intermediary)

From these and other facts, we may conclude that the aspectual vitu is the more grammaticized of the two auxiliaries, in that it appears farthest from the main verb, exhibits phonological reduction, and is more abstract in meaning.

# 2.1. The semantic characterization of aspectual vitu.

Let us turn now to the aspectual vitu and the problem of determining its 'core' meaning. As I mentioned, there has been some disagreement among linguists and grammarians on this point, and not without reason. Considered in sentences in isolation, vitu sometimes appears to lend a sense of completion; other times of definiteness or assurance; and at other times, emphasis on the proposition expressed by the main verb. Native speaker informants attribute a nuance of 'inadvertance' or 'unexpectedness' to some instances of its use, and a sense of 'expected' or even 'long-awaited outcome' to others. It interacts differentially with tense. This has led some grammarians to describe it as 'completive' in the past tense, and 'definitive' in the present and future. Even this compromise position is problematic, however, as I will attempt to illustrate here.

# 2.1.1. The 'completive' analysis.

Evidence for the completive analysis is based primarily on the fact that many if not all transitive accomplishment verbs in Tamil, such as utai 'break', urukku 'melt', and kal 'kill', imply a resultant change of state only weakly, such that the lack of that result may then be explicitly stated without contradicting the truth of the previous utterance.

- 9a) Aiyar tëñkäyai uţaittār. brahmin coconut-acc break(tr)-P3resp. 'The brahmin broke the coconut.'
- 9b) Anāl tēnkāy utaiya-villai. but coconut break(int)-neg 'But the coconut didn't break.'
- 10a) Kumār palliyai konrān. K. lizard-acc kill-P3ms 'Kumar killed the lizard.'
- 10b) Anal palli caka-villai. but lizard die-neg 'But the lizard didn't die.'

If the auxiliary vitu is added to the a) sentences above, however, it is no longer possible to follow them with the sentences in b):

- 9a') Aiyar têňkáyai utaittu-(vi)ţţār. brahmin coconut-acc break(tr)AvP-viţu-P3resp 'The brahmin broke the coconut (and finished breaking it).'
- 9b) \*Anāl tēňkāy uţaiya-villai. but coconut break(int)-neg
- 10a') Kumār palliyai konru-(vi)ttān.

  K. lizard-acc kill-AvP-eitu-P3ms

  'Kumār killed the lizard (and finished killing it).'
- 10b) \*Anāl palli cāka-villai. but lizard die-neg

To characterize vitu as completive in examples such as these seems valid. With intransitive accomplishment verbs, as well as with verbs of achievement, process, and state, however, such a contrast is not possible, and the use of past tense alone implies completion of the event. It is impossible to differentiate between 11) and 11'), for example, on the grounds that the action of going home in one is more 'completed' than in the other:

- Kumār viţţukku pöŋāŋ.
   K. house-dat go-P3ms
   'Kumar went home.'
- 11') Kumār vittukku pōy-(vi)ttān. K. house-dat go-AvP-vitu-P3ms 'Kumar went home.'

Moreover, vitu is not necessarily present in every sentence which expresses 'completion'. Explicit completion is most typically expressed by the verb muți 'finish' or by the use of adverbials, as in examples 12) and 13):

- 12) Căvittiri kaţitattai paţittu-muţittāl/paţittu-muţittu-(vi)ţţāl. Savitri letter-acc read-AvP-finish-P3ſs/read-AvP-finish-AvP-viţu-P3ſs 'Savitri finished reading the letter.'
- 13) Kumār muļu puttakattaiyum paţittān/paţittu-(vi)ţţān. K. whole book-acc+ read-P3ms/read-AvP-viţu-P3ms 'Kumar read the entire book.'

## 2.1.2. The 'definitive' analysis.

Alternatively, a number of grammarians have characterized vifu as definitive in meaning, expressing (or emphasizing) the definite occurrence of an event. While a few have applied this interpretation to the use of vifu in all three tenses, others have restricted it to the present and future tenses, noting further that vifu in the present tense has future, rather than present time reference (cf. Annamalai 1985, also endnote 6). Examples 14) and 15) illustrate this usage:

- 80
- 14) Nāṇ nāļaikku avanitam pēci-(vi)ţukirēn. 1 tomorrow he-loc speak-AvP-viţu-Prls 'I'll (definitely) speak to him tomorrow.'
- 15) Pālai iñkē vaittāl, keţţup-pōy-(v)iţum. milk-acc here put-cond go.bad-AvP-vi(n-F3ns 'If you put the milk here, it will (surely) spoil.'

What should be pointed out is that the so-called 'definitive' nuance supplied by the verbal auxiliary here is a pragmatic, not a semantic one. The unrealized propositions expressed in sentences 14) and 15) would be equally certain (or uncertain) whether vifu was used or not. They do contrast with the equivalent sentences in the simple present or simple future tense, but not in terms of the degree of probability of occurrence of the event. Example 14) is a promise and example 15) is a warning; without vifu both would be pragmatically neutral statements about the future. The semantic notion 'definiteness', on the other hand, may be expressed adverbially, either with or without vifu:

16) Kumār niccayamāka varuvān/vantu-(vi)ţuvān. K. definitely come-F3ms/come-AvP-viţu-F3ms 'Kumar will definitely come.'

Further, vitu is not incompatible with elements which express uncertainty or lack of definiteness, as example 17) shows:

17) Kumār oruvēļai varuvān/ vantu-(vi)ţuvān/ vantu-(vi)ţalām. K. perhaps come-F3ms/come-AvP-viţu-F3ms/come-AvP-viţu-may 'Kumar will/may perhaps (\*definitely) come.'

Thus it is evident that of the two principal semantic characterizations proposed for the Tamil verbal auxiliary viţu, 'completive' and 'definitive', neither accounts for the data very satisfactorily. The latter, as we have seen, cannot properly be considered a referential meaning at all, but rather a conventionalized pragmatic association. On the other hand, while there is evidence in partial support of the completive analysis, it cannot be meaningfully applied in the majority of instances, since the simple tenses alone tend to receive the same interpretation with respect to completion or incompletion whether viţu is used or not.

Having noted a few of the problems with ascribing grammatical meaning to vitu in sentences in isolation, the question then becomes the following: does it interact with the larger discourse context in any more systematic way? Might it be possible to isolate a "core" function, rather than a core meaning, which in turn could be generalized and extended to account for a majority or even all of its diverse uses? If so, what kind of evidence would such a discovery constitute for the "discourse-down" theory of grammaticization? I will attempt to answer these questions in what follows.

#### 2.2. Vitu in discourse.

It is clear from my work with native speaker informants that most uses of vitu require extensive contextualization in order to be understood at all. A context-enriched approach reveals an additional set of nuances, some of which

appear to be pragmatically conditioned, such as the assuring/warning use in examples 14) and 15), and the sense of expected or unexpected/unintentional event attributed to it by Annamalai (1985), to mention but a few. Other nuances represent distinct discourse functions. The most important of these, 'completed event which has relevance to the following event', and 'dismissal of previous topic preparatory to change of topic', indicate that the auxiliary may function as a type of 'perfect'. Thus for example, some speakers of Tamil feel that the difference between the use of the simple past tense and the auxiliary vitu in sentences such as 12)

12) Căvittiri kaţitattai paţittu-muţittă|/paţittu-muţittu-(vi)tţă|. Savitri letter-acc read-AvP-finish-P3fs/read-AvP-finish-AvP-viţu-P3fs 'Savitri finished/has finished reading the letter.'

is that the simple past variant is a matter-of-fact statement of Savitri's activity. As such, it is likely to be followed by another sentence with Savitri as the subject; e.g. what she did next. The use of vifu, however, implies that we are through with Savitri for the moment; the focus is rather on the relevance of her action to the current situation. The following sentence might be about the letter itself, having as its subject one of those present at the time of utterance (e.g. 'Now you can read it').

Annamalai translates many of his vitu examples with the English present perfect. He derives the meaning as an extension of the sense of completion which he posits for vitu, noting that its use

suggests that the message is complete; the topic of the next sentence is most probably different; its intonation is terminal; it cannot be followed by the conjunctive participle [repetition of the predicate of the previous sentence, i.e. in narrative, in its 'conjunctive' (AvP) form] (1985: 85).

A context of two or three contiguous sentences is sufficient to establish the 'perfect' function of vitu. What is revealed, then, when we consider a discourse in its entirety, as for example a narrative? Narrative has the advantage of being a relatively simplified discourse type pragmatically, since it involves much less interaction between narrator and audience than, say, between the participants in a conversation. A narrative also typically relates completed events in past time, and thus is likely to make use of the notion of completion in its organizational structure. Hopper (1979) observes that perfective aspect in a number of languages, including French, Russian, and Malay, plays an important role in the sequencing of major events within a narrative. He relates perfectivity to a complex of notions cross-linguistically, including strict chronological sequencing of dynamic, kinetic events; human topics; preservation of subject (typically presupposed); assertion of new information in the verb; and 'foregrounding', or signalling of events indispensible to the narrative.

In order to test this hypothesis, I analyzed the use of vitu in Tamil oral narrative discourse with respect to each of the features mentioned by Hopper. Twenty narratives told by 9 adult native speakers have been analyzed; of these, eleven are folk stories, and nine are personal narratives. <sup>3</sup> The results of my analysis are summarized in 18):

Feature	*************	Frequency	%
total finite vifu	7//	210/1096	19.16%
tense:	past	171	81.43%
	present	34	16.19%
	future *	5	2.34%
verb type:	accomp.	182	88.66%
	achiev.	20	9.52%
	process	5	2.34%
	state	3	1.43%
transitivity:	tr.	63	30.00℃
	intr.	147	70.00€
chronologically sequenced		185/201	92.04%
dynamic/kinetic event		155	73.81%
"human" subject/topic		189	90.00%
same s/t as previous S		133/207	64.25%
same s/t as following S		121/197	61.42%
S contains no 'new' NPs (assertion in verb)		201	95.71%
narrative foreground		192	91.43%

Out of a total of 1096 finite verbs employed by the narrators in all of the stories, 210 (or 19.16%) are modified by vitu. Of these, most are intransitive accomplishment verbs inflected for past tense. As the figures in 18) show, use of vitu in the oral narratives correlates strongly with clauses with human (or anthropomorphized animal) subjects (90.00%) relating chronologically sequenced (92.04%), 'foreground'--or indispensible to the story line--events (91.43%), where the main assertion is in the verb (95.71%). With regard to these features, therefore, Tamil vitu is a strong indicator of narrative perfectivity as characterized by Hopper. Hopper's prediction that perfective events tend to be dynamic in nature is also borne out (73.81%), although less overwhelmingly. This is due to the fact that a number of verbs which commonly take vitu, including the verbs en and col 'to say', cannot be considered to express highly kinetic events, but may nevertheless be evaluated by the speaker as indispensible to the narrative sequence. This suggests that the foregrounding function supercedes the requirement that events be dynamic.

The foregrounding function of vitu is illustrated in the narrative sample in example 19) below:

- 19)
- a. Anta..anta oru ur ponnu vantu, anta paiyanai kūttittu atu pōy-ituccu. that..that a town girl come-AvP that hoy-acc take-AvP it go-vitu-P3ns
- b. "Kalyāņam paņņittu ōti pōy-italām" nņu collittu pōy-ituccu. wedding do-vitu-AvP run.away-vitu-may thus say-vitu-AvP go-vitu-P3ns
- c. Pôy oru mācam, eñkēyô olincu iruntu-ţtāñka. go-AvP one month somewhere hide-AvP be-vitu-P3pl
- d. Appuram...oru, oru appuram, vantu-ttānkaļ-ō.
  after a a after come-vitu-P3pl-DUB
- e. Vantu, inta annan, tampi... inta ponnu ponatunale anta family-kkë matippu come-AvP this older.bro younger.bro this girl go-since that family-dat! respect korancu-tum. lessen-vitu-F3ns
- f. Atuvum unmai tan. that+ truth emph.
- g. Nāṇē..eñka vītle ellām atu tān piraccaṇai.
  I! our house-loc all that emph. problem
- h. Oru- anta ponnu pöy-ituccu nnä, utanë, ivañka vittilëyë, a that girl go-vita-P3ns cond. immediately their house-loc! ivañkalukkullëyë, ivañka jätikkullëyë, ivañkalai këvalamä pëcuvañka illai. they-inside! their caste-inside! they-acc disgrace-adv speak-F3pl tagQ
- Kévalamā tān pēcuvāňka. disgrace-adv emph. speak-F3pl
- j. Appa...anta paiyanaiyum, anta ponnayum küttittu, "Niñka vantu nāñka vantu then that boy-acc+, that giri-acc+ take-AvP, you-pl come-AvP we come-AvP uñkalukku kalyāṇam muticcu veccu-tarôm" appaţi nnu colli-ţarāñka. you-dat wedding finish-AvP keep-vitu-Pr1pl like.that thus say-vitu-Pr3pl
- k. Collittu anta ponnaiyum...anta paiyanaiyum küttittu vantu-ttänka.
  say-vifu-AvP that girl-acc+ that boy-acc+ take-AvP come-vifu-P3pl
- Küţţiţţu vantu.. kalyāṇam muţiccu-ţţāñka. take-AvP come-AvP wedding finish-viţu-P3pl
- a. That..a girl from that place, um, went off with that boy.
- b. She said "We can get married and then run away," and then went off.
- c. And then for a month, they hid somewhere and waited.
- d. Afterwards..um, afterwards, did they come?
- e. Um, (her) older brother (and) younger brother..since the girl had gone, the family would lose face.
- f. And that('s) really true.
- g. Even (with) me..in our house and everything..that('s) the problem.
- h. If a- the girl has gone off, immediately they'll bad-mouth them in their home, among themselves, in their caste, right?
- i. They'll really bad-mouth them.
- j. So they brought the boy and the girl, and tell\* them, "You, um, we'll finalize your wedding."
- k. And then, they brought the girl and the boy back.
- I. And then, they finalized the wedding.

80

In this sample, taken from a longer story about inter-caste marriages, the vilu sentences relate the primary events of the narrative: 'she went off', 'they hid and waited', 'her brothers came', 'they tell them "We'll finalize your wedding"'. 'they brought them back', 'they finalized the wedding'. Sentences (h) and (i), which give general background information in the future/habitual tense, and the equational (verbless) sentences f) and g) expressing the speaker's personal evaluation, do not have vitu. (The use of vitu with the future tense in sentence (e) does not signal a narrative event but rather indicates pragmatic assertion, as do also the vitu-marked verbs within quotes in sentences (b) and (j)). Note that the stative main verb iru 'to be' in line (c) when followed by vitu takes on a more dynamic, eventive interpretation: 'They hid somewhere and waited.' The 'waiting' here is a discrete event viewed in its entirety, without any temporal overlap with the events of the surrounding sentences. Not all of the oral narratives I have examined signal foreground events by the use of vita with such a high degree of systematicity. It is not unusual for events of the primary narrative sequence to be related in the simple past, or unmarked narrative tense, alongside of others (presumably, those to which the narrator most wishes to draw the listener's attention) marked by vitu. Nevertheless, the trend is evident in virtually every narrative in which vitu appears at all. 7 Thus the evidence supports the claim that the primary function of vitu in narrative discourse is that of 'perfectivity'.

How does this finding relate to what we have seen of vitu in other discourse contexts? It is interesting to note that Annamalai's predictions regarding the 'perfect'-like behavior of 'completive' vitu, namely that it closes off the "message", cannot be followed by a conjunctive participle, and implies a following shift of topic, are not supported by the narrative data. Example 19) constitutes a single episode; the use of vitu for individual events within it does not imply any special closure. Moreover, lines (c), (e), (k), and (l) all begin with a conjunctive participle repeating the finite, vitu-marked predicate of the preceeding sentence. In these sentences, the subject is necessarily maintained, due to a syntactic constraint 8 on maintaining the same subject across clauses with the use of the conjuntive form. The discrepancies can be accounted for by the fact that Annamalai's observations were based on example sentences interpreted as though in the context of conversation, not narrative. It is noteworthy, however, that of the 16 vitu clauses in my sample which are not on the narrative time line (that is, not in strict chronological sequence), 12 of them (75%) relate previously completed events and must be translated by the English past perfect. This suggests that the notion of 'perfect' as expressed by Tamil vitu is not incompatible with narrative, although it clearly takes second place to the perfective, sequencing function.

## 2.3. 'Perfectivity' as core function.

At this point I would like to submit that the characterization of vitu as a marker of narrative perfectivity can be extended to account for its non-narrative uses as well. As Hopper observed, the notion of 'completion' arises logically from narrative sequencing, since one event must be complete before the next can follow. However Tamil already has a productive past tense which alone implies 'completion'; thus the applications of 'completive' aspect on the propositional level are

somewhat restricted in the language. With transitive accomplishment verbs, as in examples 9) and 10), vitu indicates that the event has reached its logical end point (as evidenced by the total affectedness of the patient). Where such a contrast cannot be made, vitu preserves a more general sense of 'intensification of the verbal action' which has given rise in turn to a variety of pragmatic nuances, many of them verb or situation-specific. Probably related to the notion of 'intensity' as well are vitu-marked assertions regarding the future, which have come to be associated with 'definiteness' on the speech act level; e.g. '(I definitely assert that) Kumar may perhaps come' (cf. ex.17). In the context of continuous discourse, focus on the end point of a completed event, as in the 'perfect' function of vitu, extends the notion of 'completion' to the relevance of the completed event to what follows. (The fact that Tamil already has a productive perfect construction formed from the verb iru 'to be' may help to explain why vitu has not grammaticized further in this sense). Ultimately, we may posit that the 'disjunctive' role which vitu plays in non-finite clauses (cf. ex.3) is related to both the original lexical meaning of the main verb ('to leave (off)') and the sequencing function evidenced in Tamil narrative, quite possibly as an intermediary step between the two. The extensions of meaning of vitu are represented schematically in 20):

V = = > Aux 'disjunction'

'let/leave'

(finite) = > (sequence' = > 'completion' = > 'perfect' s/t change ('foreground' = > 'intensification')

In addition to relating the senses of vitu in a logically plausible fashion, the direction of development which I have postulated here is consistent with maintenance of subject/topic across vitu-marked clauses. The original disjunctive use typically requires that the subject of the main clause be the same as that of the subordinate clause, as in example 3). The extended 'perfect' use, on the other hand, implies a change of subject/topic. In the oral narrative sample, as summarized in the table in 18), subjects/topics are maintained following finite vitu clauses only about 60% of the time. That is, they do not incline significantly one way or the other in this regard, contrary to the conflicting predictions of Annamalai and Hopper. This suggests that the explicit topic-shifting function is further removed from the disjunctive function than it is from the use of vitu in narrative, as figure 20) implies.

### 3. Summary and conclusion.

20)

Before returning to the theoretical issues raised in the beginning of this paper, let us summarize briefly what we have seen thus far. In §2.1., it was argued that the Tamil auxiliary vitu is not adequately described on the propositional level by any of the grammatical labels which have been applied to it. There is, however, evidence that it interacts in a more systematic fashion with the larger discourse context. My analysis of 20 oral narratives revealed that in the context of narrative discourse vitu functions in the overwhelming majority of its occurrences as a marker of what, following Hopper, I refer to as 'narrative perfectivity'. In §2.3., I proposed that 'narrative perfectivity' gives rise to certain additive meanings, such as 'completion' and 'verbal focus', which can be extended to

account for all of the major categories of meaning expressed by the auxiliary vitu, on the propositional as well as on the discourse level.

While this proposal cannot be considered to constitute "proof" of the direction of grammaticization independent of confirmation from actual diachronic evidence. I would like to argue that the evidence of Tamil vitu, along with that of Malay lah, suggest that the functional-semantic notion of 'perfectivity' is inherently bound to the narrative discourse context, and that in Tamil at least, certain features of its meaning, such as 'chronological sequence' and 'verbal focus', would have been unlikely to arise at all outside of narrative. The objection will no doubt he made that these are not basic senses of perfectivity but rather are derived from precisely that same extended discourse context. The notions of sequence and focus are central, however, to the characterization of Tamil vitu. It is via the sense of 'disjunction' that the original lexical meaning of 'leave (off)' is most likely to have grammaticized, as evidenced by the non-finite use of vitu. which was presumably insulated from further extension of meaning by its sentence-internal position. In sentence-final position, the only possible application of 'disjunction' (a type of conjunction) would have been in larger discourse units of events in sequence. Verbal focus, on the other hand, accounts for important uses of vitu on the sentence level ('intensification', pragmatic 'definiteness') as well as on the discourse level ('foregrounding').

An explanation moving in the opposite direction; that is to say, from sentence to discourse; would encounter a number of problems not posed by the current hypothesis. It would have to account for the sense of 'focus' or 'emphasis' independent of the functional notion foregrounding'. Similarly, it would have to account for the extension of meaning from 'disjunction' to 'completion' without passing through the intermediary of 'sequence' (a notion available only in connected discourse). It would ultimately be forced to the inelegant conclusion that the notion of 'completion' was then lost in the context of narrative (since narrative rifu does not display any of the features of 'completion' as described by Annamalai) and replaced with the notion of 'sequence/disjunction'! Even if solutions were to be found to these difficulties, a theoretical position taking the propositional meaning (which I assume would be 'completive', for lack of a better candidate) as primary would need to account for why the application of this meaning is so restricted on the sentence level. Given the existence of problems such as these, it is the "discourse-first" hypothesis, and not the traditional approach, which provides the more elegant and internally consistent account of the facts of Tamil vitu.

These conclusions should not be taken to imply that the traditional account of the grammaticization process for other linguistic elements is necessarily incorrect, nor that it is impossible to arrive at workable semantic characterizations on the sentence level, since clearly much valuable work has been and continues to be done in these areas. What I would like to suggest is that the largely unquestioned belief in the "unidirectionality" of the grammaticization process be reconsidered in the light of phenomena such as Tamil vitu, which appears to provide evidence not only for the opposite direction of change but for a bi-directional give and take (as suggested for example by the extended narrative use of the vitu 'perfect'). The Tamil data further illustrate that, even when there exists evidence

from a large number of languages in support of a particular development, the process of grammaticization can never be fully predictable, inasmuch as it is influenced by the availability of grammatical categories within a given language at a given point in time. The story of Tamil vitu might have been a different one altogether if the language had not already had a fully productive past tense and perfect construction in relation to which it had to negotiate its semantic and functional role. Finally, the concept that grammaticization may be motivated by discourse functions as well as by referential meaning deserves much more serious consideration. Such a conclusion need not stand in opposition to what we already know about semantic processes, but would rather add to it a dimension which reflects a profoundly basic fact about language use: that it often serves an underlying non-referential agenda.

#### Endnotes

- 1. The 'continuous' auxiliary kontinu is actually made up of two verbs, kol 'to hold' and iru 'to be'. By itself, kol is used as an auxiliary to express 'continuation' or 'simultaneity' in non-finite clauses, and 'reflexivity' or 'middle voice' in finite position. As it is not fully "aspectual" in the same sense as the others, I have not included it here.
- I have not attempted to argue for or against the third characterization, 'intensive', in that this sense is impossible to demonstrate for sentences in isolation without having access to the intentions of the speaker or writer. This alone disqualifies it as a candidate for 'core meaning'.
- 3. As the folk narratives and the personal narratives behave similarly with respect to the use of viţu, I make no further distinction between them here. A somewhat different pattern emerges when we consider written narrative, however, which suggests that 'narrative perfectivity' as indicated by viţu is a characteristically oral phenomenon. This is an area which requires further investigation.
- Instances of vitu in future tense with habitual meaning have been excluded from the analysis, as the inherent imperfectivity of the future tense in Tamil tends to restrict it to 'background' functions.
- 5. The predominance of intransitive verbs in the foregrounded narrative event sequence might seem surprising, particularly in view of the predictions made by Hopper and Thompson's (1980) Transitivity Hierarchy. The 70% figure for intransitive verbs in this sample reflects the fact that the four verbs most commonly used with vitu, and which alone account for 35.71% of its occurrences, happen to be intransitive. These are: pô 'go' (24 instances), vâ 'come' (19 instances), col 'say' (17 instances), and en 'say' (15 instances).
- 6. Narrative uses of present tense such as this one must be considered referentially equivalent to the past. As such, the example does not contradict the observation that vi(u plus present tense may not refer to present time (a fact which is consistent with its perfectivity).
- At least one instance of finite vitu appears in 19 out of the 20 narratives in my sample. The narrative which lacks it is a very short (7 finite verbal forms) folk tale related predomonantly in the future/habitual tense.

 There are exceptions to this constraint (cf. Lindholm 1975; Paramasivam 1983) but these need not concern us here.

## Bibliography

- Annamalai, E. 1985. Dynamics of Verbal Extension in Tamil. Kerala: Dravidian Linguistics Association of India.
- Arden, A.H. 1942. A Progressive Grammar of the Tamil Language (5th ed.). Madras: Christian Literature Society.
- Comrie, Bernard. 1985. Tense. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dale, Ian R.H. 1975. Tamil auxiliary verbs. Ph.D. dissertation, School of Oriental and African Studies, London.
- Du Bois, John W. 1987. The discourse basis of ergativity. Language 63:4. 805-55.
- Givon, Talmy. 1979. On Understanding Grammar. New York: Academic.
- Hopper, Paul J. 1979. Some observations on the typology of focus and aspect in narrative language. Studies in Language 3:1. 37-64.
- Hopper, Paul J. 1982. Aspect between discourse and grammar. In Hopper (ed.), Tense-Aspect: Between Semantics and Pragmatics. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Hopper, Paul and Thompson, Sandra. 1980. Transitivity in grammar and discourse. Language 56:2. 251-299.
- Kumaraswami Raja, N. and Doraiswamy, K. 1966. Conversational Tamil. Annamalainagar: Annamalai University.
- Lindholm, James M. 1975. The Conceptual Basis of the Tamil Advertial Participle. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago.
- Paramasivam, K. 1983. Ikkālat Tamil Marpu (Trends in Modern Tamil). Civakankai: Virpanai Urimai Annam Ltd.
- Schiffman, Harold. 1969. A Transformational Grammar of the Tamil Aspectual System. Studies in Linguistics and Language Learning, Vol.3. Seattle: University of Washington.
- Shanmukam Pillai, M. 1968. Spoken Tamil: part two. Annamalainagar: Annamalai University.